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I. Background

1. Following the 1967 Arab-Israeli war, Israel occupied the Gaza Strip (“Gaza”), the West Bank, and East Jerusalem, collectively referred to as the Occupied Palestinian Territory (“OPT”),¹ and the Syrian Golan. The OPT is home to approximately five million Palestinians.² The occupied Syrian Golan (“Golan”), in southwest Syria, comprises of about 1,230 km² where approximately 27,000 Syrians reside.³

2. In the last half century of occupation, Israel, as Occupying Power, has devised a myriad of methods to systematically deepen and expand its territorial control.⁴ Israel’s measures are designed to hamper the economic, social, and cultural rights of the Palestinians and Golani Syrians,⁵ while favouring the interests of Israeli and multinational corporate entities. This submission examines the systemic and manifestly unlawful exploitation of renewable and non-renewable energy resources in the OPT and Golan and how this exploitation denies numerous rights guaranteed in the International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights (“Covenant”) for both occupied populations.

A. Legal Status of the Occupied Palestinian Territory and Occupied Syrian Golan under International Law

3. Since 1967, Israel has remained the de facto Occupying Power of the OPT and Golan.⁶ As Occupying Power, Israel is bound by the laws of belligerent occupation and obliged to govern the territories it occupies in accordance with international humanitarian law, including the Fourth Geneva Convention (1949) and the Hague Regulations (1907).⁷ Under the laws of occupation, Israel is a temporary administrator of the territories it occupies; therefore, it is prohibited from transferring its civilian population into, applying its laws in, or moving to annex these territories.⁸ Additionally, the laws of occupation require Israel to limit its use of natural resources in the OPT and Golan and refrain from exploiting such resources for its own economic benefit.⁹ Israel’s responsibilities include, inter alia, acting in good faith, maintaining the capital of natural resources for the benefit of the occupied population, and ensuring that the occupied population has an adequate standard of living.¹⁰

4. Israel, however, consistently denies and ignores these obligations and instead governs the OPT and Golan in a sovereign-like manner, permanently alienating public immovable land and natural resources and applying its domestic laws to unlawfully annexed parts of the occupied territories. The international community has continually warned that Israel’s occupations violate international humanitarian law.¹¹ It has also repeatedly recognized Palestinians’ right to self-determination and sovereignty over the OPT¹² and Syria’s right to self-determination and permanent sovereignty over their resources in the Golan.¹³

B. Applying Unlawful Sovereign Control over the Occupied Territories

5. Israel exercises control over the Golan and OPT through a combination of military orders and civilian laws. Israel treats each region differently although both territories have identical status as occupied territory under international law. Israel purported to annex the Golan in 1981 when it passed the Golan Heights Law, which extended Israel’s civilian jurisdiction to the territory.¹⁴ In

6. Similarly, in 1967, Israel annexed East Jerusalem, through an amendment to the Law and Administrative Ordinance 1948, providing that the law, jurisdiction, and administration of the State shall extend to any area of Eretz (Land) Israel, as designated by an order of the government. On 28 June 1967, the Israeli authorities used this amendment to place 30,000 dunums (7,413 acres) of East Jerusalem, including the Old City, under Israeli judicial and administrative control. On the same day, and using another amended law, the Israeli Municipality of West Jerusalem extended its borders over the same land, bringing East Jerusalem within its jurisdiction. The East Jerusalem Municipality was ordered to cease operations the next day and Israel completed its annexation under the banner of “integrating services.” In 1980, Israel de jure annexed East Jerusalem, applying its own civil laws and jurisdiction. The U.N. Security Council, with Resolution 478 (1980), called on all States to “not to recognize the ‘basic law’ and such other actions by Israel that, as a result of this law, seek to alter the character and status of Jerusalem.”

7. The Oslo Accords divided the OPT’s West Bank into Areas A, B, and C, and established the Palestinian Authority (“PA”). Though the PA obtained varying degrees of administrative responsibility over Areas A and B, Israel maintains overall effective control of these areas. Israel holds “full civil and security authority” over Area C, which comprises of more than 60 percent of the West Bank. The Israeli Civil Administration, a branch of the Israeli military, retains authority over all planning decisions including any construction in Area C. The Israeli Civil Administration’s decisions governing natural resource extraction in Area C consistently favour Israel and Israeli settlers, as well as corporations operating there.

8. Israel maintains effective control over Gaza’s air, waters, and perimeter, as well as administrative control over its population register, despite the presence of Palestinian authorities and Israel’s declared official “disengagement” in 2005. Since 2007, Israel has imposed a tighter punitive closure and blockade on Gaza, along with a number of other sanctions, including power cuts, restrictions on access, and limits on the movement of people and goods.

C. Israeli Measures to Unlawfully Seize Natural Resources in the Occupied Territories

9. Since occupying the Golan, Israel has progressively consolidated its power over the region’s natural resources, treating them as if they are within Israel’s sovereign control. To date, Israel has expropriated over 95 percent of the Golan while refusing to acknowledge the vast majority of Syrian public or private ownership of any land. Israel also institutes discriminatory pricing on and distribution of utilities in the Golan, subsidizing illegal Israeli settlement businesses while suffocating Syrian industries, particularly the agricultural sector.

10. In the OPT, Israel’s prolonged occupation has featured the appropriation and exploitation of Palestinian natural resources for the benefit of Israel’s economy and settlement enterprise. While Israel profits immensely from these natural resources, it prohibits Palestinian access to and development of the same resources. These natural resources include land, agriculture, water, minerals, mud, gas, oil, stone reserves, and more. Notably, Israel maintains military and civil control over the most resource-rich area in the OPT, Area C, as part of an intentional policy to
benefit the Israeli economy and allow for settlement expansion with the ultimate goal of annexation.  

11. Israel has spent the last half century consolidating its control over land and natural resources in the OPT through, among other practices, military orders, the transfer of settlers into the OPT through various incentives, the construction of, _inter alia_, housing units and infrastructure for its settler population, the construction of the Annexation Wall, and the privatization of the water sector. Israel has forcibly integrated the Palestinian water sector with its own in order to benefit Israeli civilians, settlers, and the Israeli economy as a whole. Israel exploits and extracts nearly 90 percent of the West Bank’s water resources for its own use and allocates only approximately 10 percent to Palestinians. Hebrew text

12. Israel’s practices of consolidating its grasp on occupied land, forcibly displacing its residents and altering demographics, seizing and exploiting its natural resources, and developing new avenues for resource exploitation amount to grave breaches of international humanitarian law. Although international humanitarian law is not contemplated within the Committee on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights’ (“Committee”) mandate, it is essential to note Israel’s complete failure to uphold its obligations under the Geneva Conventions, the Hague Regulations, International Court of Justice rulings, and customary international humanitarian law. International human rights and humanitarian law are complementary. The Committee has itself acknowledge this with regards to its observations of Israel’s actions:

“The Committee repeats its position that even in a situation of armed conflict, fundamental human rights must be respected and that basic economic, social and cultural rights, as part of the minimum standards of human rights, are guaranteed under customary international law and are also prescribed by international humanitarian law. Moreover, the applicability of rules of humanitarian law does not by itself impede the application of the Covenant or the accountability of the State under [A]rticle 2 (1) for the actions of its authorities.”

Therefore, as the following issues are addressed under the Covenant, the Committee is also asked to recognize Israel’s consistent disregard for its obligations to the occupied populations in the OPT and Golan under international humanitarian law.

II. Israel’s Exploitation of Oil and Gas Resources in the Occupied Territories

13. Israel exploits gas and oil resources in the territories it occupies while prohibiting Syrians and Palestinians from utilizing the same resources. Israel relies heavily on the private sector to conduct extractions. This unlawful exploitation of resources in the occupied territories gives rise to a number of human rights violations. Israel is not only responsible for its own actions, it is also responsible for the conduct of private enterprises in the territories it occupies, and must ensure that the private sector honours international human rights obligations in those territories.

A. Factual Overview

14. Since the outbreak of the Syrian conflict in 2011, Israel has advanced gas and oil exploration in the Golan. It is estimated that the Golan contains “billions of barrels” of oil. Israel issued its first license to drill exploratory wells for gas and oil reserves in 2013 to Afek Oil and Gas (“Afek”), a subsidiary of Genie Energy, a massive United States-based energy corporation. Afek’s license
covered the majority of the southern half of the Golan, where it dug 10 wells before halting drilling activities in 2017. Although Afek has paused its drilling, it remains optimistic about large oil reserves in the Golan and is still actively seeking licenses in the region.

15. In Gaza, Israel began unilaterally exploiting contiguous gas resources in 2011, but has blocked Palestinians’ access to gas resources in Gaza’s maritime zone for nearly 20 years. The Gaza Marine gas field is located within the agreed upon Palestinian Maritime Zone in the Mediterranean Sea, 36 kilometres west of Gaza City, and has substantial gas reserves estimated at 1.4 trillion cubic feet. Since 2007, Israel has imposed naval restrictions on shipping and blockaded all sea access to Gaza, leaving an area of just three to six nautical miles for Palestinian use. This has also negatively affected the Palestinian fishing industry as fishermen are constantly subjected to indiscriminate and disproportionate attacks by Israeli forces, including arbitrary arrests and the excessive use of lethal force, which has resulted in deaths.

16. Israel not only exploits the OPT’s energy resources, it also locates strategic gas infrastructure in maritime waters that Palestinians cannot access. For instance, the $15 billion USD El-Arish pipeline, operated by Noble Energy and Delek Drilling, is located in Gaza’s maritime zone, approximately 13 nautical miles off the Palestinian coast. Israel soon expects to use the El-Arish pipeline, which will connect Israel’s pipeline network to Egypt’s, for gas export. The El-Arish pipeline, although carrying gas processed from Israel’s existing fields, will run through Palestinian waters. Israel’s placing of the El-Arish pipeline off Gaza’s coast incentivizes Israel to continue to block the development of Gaza’s maritime zone and continental shelf through naval closures under the pretext of security. Additionally, the Mari-B gas storage facility, which is an integral part of Israel’s domestic gas infrastructure, is also positioned just 13 nautical miles from Gaza’s coast, in Israeli waters. Israel maintains a heavy security perimeter around the platform, which extends into Palestine’s maritime waters.

B. Legal Analysis

17. When Israel exploits gas and oil resources in the territories it occupies, and blocks Syrians’ and Palestinians’ access to the same resources, it violates the Covenant. Private entities like Afek, Genie Energy, and Noble Energy are complicit in these illegal activities. Article 1 of the Covenant states that “[a]ll peoples may, for their own ends, freely dispose of their natural wealth and resources.” It adds that “[i]n no case may a people be deprived of its own means of subsistence.” The U.N. Human Rights Committee has stated this principle affirms the right to economic self-determination. In this vein, the U.N. Security Council and General Assembly have specifically recognized Palestinians’ and Syrians’ inherent rights to sovereignty over their resources.

18. The Committee has, numerous times, taken issue with States’ and private parties’ commercial exploitation of natural resources, especially when it interferes with local populations’ ability to profit off and use their own land for their own means of subsistence. Similarly, the Committee has criticised State policies in occupied territory that infringe on peoples’ right to freely utilize their resources. Israel has a similar obligation under international humanitarian law, as a belligerent occupier, to protect and ensure Syrians’ and Palestinians’ rights to access and freely dispose of their natural resources.
19. Under both international human rights and humanitarian law, Israel has uniformly failed in its responsibilities to protect economic self-determination. Syrians and Palestinians have never consented to Israel’s exploitation of their natural resources, nor have they ever been genuinely or meaningfully consulted about Israel’s use of their natural resources. International law requires that States engage relevant local authorities and community representatives when contemplating exploiting natural resources in an occupied territory. This responsibility is heightened when the land and resources belong to minority and indigenous communities whose ways of life are often threatened by large scale development. Instead of heeding its obligations, Israel facilitates the pillage of oil and gas resources in the Golan and OPT in violation of Article 1.

20. Israel’s blockade of Gaza, a deliberate act of collective punishment in contravention of international humanitarian law, is enforced discriminatorily in violation of Article 2 of the Covenant. Under Article 2(2), States must “guarantee that the rights enunciated in the present Convention will be exercised without discrimination of any kind.” The Committee has noted that this encapsulates all forms of discrimination, including direct, indirect, formal, and substantive discrimination. Thus, States are required to, in addition to ensuring they do not discriminate, eliminate environments and conditions that facilitate discrimination. The Committee has, many times, criticised States’ willingness to institute policies and laws that directly, or by their impact, discriminate against indigenous communities, minorities, and marginalized populations. Notably, the Committee has done so regarding Israel’s discriminatory treatment of non-Jews in the areas under its control.

21. While supporting the production and expansion of its own gas industry off the coast of Gaza, Israel continues to intentionally limit Palestinians’ right to move within and outside of Gaza and prohibits them from utilizing their own territorial waters. This results in many violations of rights enshrined in the Covenant, including the rights to life, dignity, health, and work. Israel’s actions in discriminatorily enforcing its blockade directly cause these rights violations, clearly infringing on Article 2.

22. By preventing Palestinians and Syrians from utilizing their own gas and oil reserves, Israel is also depriving these peoples of their fundamental rights to work freely and achieve an adequate standard of living in violation of Articles 6 and 11 of the Covenant. In the OPT, Israel’s Gaza blockade has restricted Palestinians’ right to work freely, including in the energy and fishing industries. The blockade further restricts the movement of people and goods in and out of Gaza. This, in combination with constant military attacks by land and sea, restricts Palestinians from achieving an adequate living standard and has completely stifled life, development, and economic growth. In fact, the Palestinian Central Bureau of Statistics has recorded a sharp increase in the unemployment rate in Gaza, from 44 percent in 2017 to 52 percent in 2018.

23. Golani Syrians, although not under siege, are similarly limited in their development and living standards. They are unable to develop their own gas and oil industries due to Israel’s illegal enforcement of its own laws and regulations that support Israeli companies and their multinational parent companies. Israel’s actions in this regard restrict Syrians’ rights to freely choose their work and benefit from potentially lucrative gas and oil deposits. Israel’s selective development in the Golan contributes to the high unemployment rate: only about 51 percent of working age Syrians in the Golan are employed. Approximately 90 percent of those individuals do not work in any
industry that utilizes natural resources despite the fact that prior to occupation the indigenous community worked mostly in agricultural.\textsuperscript{75}

\textbf{III. Israel’s Exploitation of the Renewable Energies Sector in the Occupied Territories}

24. Israel exploits renewable energy resources in the territories it occupies, while restricting Syrians and Palestinians from accessing the same resources, in violation of Syrians’ and Palestinians’ rights as recognized by the Covenant. Again, these violations have been perpetrated by Israel in coalition with private entities despite the fact that Israel has a duty to ensure these private businesses do not violate international law in areas under its control.\textsuperscript{76}

\textit{A. Factual Overview}

25. Under the cloak of pursuing sustainable, clean energy goals,\textsuperscript{77} Israel continues to attempt to illegally extend its jurisdiction into the occupied territories by launching renewable energy projects in the Golan and OPT. Simultaneously, Palestinians and Syrians are denied access to their natural resources and territory while being forced into permanent energy dependence on Israel through the creation of captive economies.

26. Out of Israel’s numerous wind and solar energy projects in the Golan,\textsuperscript{78} the Clean Wind Energy Project will have the most dramatic impact on Syrians’ health\textsuperscript{79} and environment.\textsuperscript{80} The company behind the project is Energix Renewable Energies Ltd. (“Energix”), which is incorporated and traded on Israel’s main stock exchange.\textsuperscript{81} To advance the project, Energix is following Israeli regulations and seeking to obtain Israeli licenses.\textsuperscript{82} Energix also hopes to secure a contract with the State-owned Israeli Electric Corporation (“IEC”) to supply Israel’s main power grid.\textsuperscript{83}

27. Energix’s project proposes to build 31 wind turbines that can reach heights of 220 meters.\textsuperscript{84} The project will be built atop Syrian agricultural lands and in close proximity to Syrian population centres.\textsuperscript{85} The project’s full structural footprint is still unknown but it is estimated that the project as a whole will occupy about 4,300 dunums (1,062 acres), almost a quarter of the limited agricultural land remaining in Syrians’ possession. The project is planned to generate 130-150 megawatts of energy per year, producing revenues of $38-44 million USD each year.\textsuperscript{86} Al-Marsad estimates, based on testimonials it has received and after viewing land contracts for the project, that if the project is built, Syrian landowners will receive less than one percent of its total profits.\textsuperscript{87}

28. Energix’s project poses serious health risks to Syrians. For example, turbines emit an inaudible, low-frequency sound wave known as infrasound, which can cause motion sickness, headaches, dizziness, and nausea.\textsuperscript{88} The turbines are also likely to affect nearby residential populations through “flickering.”\textsuperscript{89} Even minimal exposure to flickering can be disturbing and harmful, especially to those with epilepsy.\textsuperscript{90} Most concerning though is the risk Energix’s project poses to Syrians’ cultural life in the Golan. Indigenous Syrians are deeply attached to their ancestral land. This attachment is strong despite Israel’s repeated attempts to dispossess Syrians of their small plots.\textsuperscript{91}

29. Israel is similarly advancing clean energy projects for its benefit in violation of international law in the OPT, mostly in the form of solar energy farms. In October 2014, the Israeli government approved commercial solar fields in the OPT.\textsuperscript{92} In 2016, Israel mandated that all solar farm projects in Israel and the OPT with a capacity of over 800 megawatts be connected to Israel’s power grid.\textsuperscript{93}
As of February 2017, four large-scale Israeli commercial solar fields were operating in illegal industrial settlements in the West Bank.\textsuperscript{94}

30. It must be noted that Israel took control of Palestine’s electricity grid following its occupation in 1967, placing it under the control of the IEC, terminating Palestinian providers’ concessions for the supply of electricity, and conferring new supply concessions to the IEC.\textsuperscript{95} All the electricity generated from the West Bank’s solar fields is sold to the IEC then distributed to illegal Israeli settlements, Israel, or sold back at inflated prices to Palestinians in the OPT.\textsuperscript{96} Israel’s actions in this regard create a captive market.

31. Kalia Solar Field in Kibbutz Kalia on the northern Dead Sea Shore is a prime example of Israel’s settlement solar fields. Kalia Solar Field is connected to Israel’s power grid and occupies approximately 133 dunums (33 acres) of illegally seized Palestinian land.\textsuperscript{97} This field generates enough power to provide electricity for about 5,000 houses. As of December 2016, there were 104,000 solar panels in Kalia Solar Field. The IEC has committed to buy all of Kalia Solar Field’s energy production for the next 20 years.\textsuperscript{98} Several companies have been involved in the Kalia Solar Field’s construction and operation, including Clal Sun (Israel), First Solar (United States), PADCON (Germany), SMA Solar Technology (Germany), and ABB Group (Sweden and Switzerland).\textsuperscript{99}

32. While advancing its own renewable energy interests in the OPT, Israel actively prevents and prohibits Palestinians from pursuing renewable energy projects. In Area C of the West Bank, Israel has made it almost impossible for Palestinians to obtain building permits for construction,\textsuperscript{100} including for houses, solar panels, and other basic structures.\textsuperscript{101} This policy of denial has led to a long-standing and mounting practice of Palestinian house and property demolitions and confiscations by the Israeli authorities, particularly in Area C and East Jerusalem.\textsuperscript{102} Over the years, Al-Haq has documented the demolition and confiscation of solar panels, including those donated by the international community for humanitarian purposes.\textsuperscript{103}

33. These actions are not dissimilar from Israel’s tactics in the Golan, where Israel maintains extremely restrictive zoning and building policies on Syrians, including the incessant issuance of demolition orders for Syrian structures, while facilitating illegal settlement expansion.\textsuperscript{104} Israel also recently initiated a process to zone some of the only viable land for the expansion of the Golani Syrian villages as a national park, which is effectively a mechanism of appropriation.\textsuperscript{105} This would make it nearly impossible for the creation of any future Syrian development projects that would need to be built on new land. Further, it has been reported that in 2014 Israel sought to stop a local Syrian renewable energy project from advancing in the Golan.\textsuperscript{106}

34. It is important to note that the IEC operates Israel’s, Palestine’s, and the Golan’s electric grids as one territorial contiguous entity and controls 75 percent of electricity generation for that grid.\textsuperscript{107} In 2017, Israel provided the West Bank with 99 percent of its electricity.\textsuperscript{108} Palestinians in the OPT, as well as Golani Syrians, have been forced to become dependent on Israel’s electric grid for their power. Israel also deliberately denies many Palestinian communities, especially in Area C, access to the electric grid, creating coercive environments to force Palestinians to leave areas to create space for illegal settlement expansion. This forces some Palestinians to seek out alternative means of electricity to meet their basic needs.\textsuperscript{109} Meanwhile, the EuroAsia Interconnector Project, which is set to launch by 2023, will connect Israel’s power grid, including its settlements as well
as illegally occupied Palestine and Golan, to mainland Europe. This energy infrastructure integration further entrenches Israel’s occupation and colonisation.

B. Legal Analysis

35. Israel, along with numerous private companies, both Israeli and international, is exploiting Syrians’ and Palestinians’ lands to create renewable energy industries in violation of Syrians’ and Palestinians’ right to self-determination under Article 1 of the Covenant. In the Golan, Energix’s project will prevent Syrians from being able to use, cultivate, and exercise control over their fertile agricultural lands. By approving Energix’s project, Israel will deprive Golani Syrians of their right to “freely dispose of their natural wealth and resources.” Similarly in the OPT, by not allowing Palestinians to make use of their solar energy potential and confiscating Palestinian land and solar panels, Israel, with complicit corporations, is depriving Palestinians of their sovereign right to utilise their natural resources.

36. Israel’s activities in facilitating the exploitation of Palestinians’ and Syrians’ lands for its own renewable energy projects violate Article 2 of the Covenant because they discriminate against Palestinians and Syrians. Israel severely restricts Palestinians’ and Syrians’ ability to build their own renewable energy industries and destroys infrastructure for such industries when built. Meanwhile, Israel supports large Israeli and settlement-based projects that breach the territorial integrity and political independence of the Golan and OPT. Israel’s discrimination in this regard is patently clear.

37. The installation of private renewable energy projects in the Golan and OPT violates Syrians’ and Palestinians’ right to work and freely choose their work under Article 6 of the Covenant. Installing wind turbines on Syrians’ agricultural land in the Golan threatens farming, a staple of the Syrian economy in the region. Not only does the physical presence of the 200-plus-meter turbines reduce the land available for cultivation, but the climate conditions created by the turbines may negatively affect the land that can still be farmed. These pressures risk forcing Syrians out of the agricultural sector altogether. In the OPT, Israel’s solar farm policies thwart Palestinians’ efforts to use their land and build their own renewable energy initiatives, and thus violate their right to choose their own work. Golani Syrians face similar restrictions if they attempt to build their own renewable energy industries.

38. By manipulating renewable resources in the territories it occupies, Israel also infringes on Article 11 of the Covenant, which guarantees an adequate standard of living. Israel’s absolute control of the renewable energies sector thwarts Syrians’ and Palestinians’ ability to profit and benefit from their own renewable resources. Energy is the backbone of any modern economy. In particular, many renewable energy projects are potentially lucrative because they supply affordable energy from a sustainable source. Israel’s control over energy resources allows it to determine the commercial success of Syrian and Palestinian enterprises. Israel has used this power to stifle prosperity in the OPT and Golan, thwarting Palestinians’ and Syrians’ access to an adequate standard of living.

39. In controlling the renewable energies sector in the territories it occupies, Israel is also infringing on its obligations to protect Syrians’ and Palestinians’ right to the highest attainable standard of health, as articulated in Article 12 of the Covenant. In the Golan, wind turbines’ health impacts,
outlined above, can cause serious medical issues for the people living and working in proximity to
them. Energix’s project may build turbines within one kilometre, in some locations, of
remaining Syrian villages in the Golan.

40. Israel’s control of the electric supply for Syrians and Palestinians also threatens both communities' health because it allows Israel the ability to withhold vital medical supplies and instruments that rely on electricity. This has been strikingly evident in Gaza where Israel’s blockade has limited electricity to the point where that the World Health Organization has voiced concern that necessary medical care is being threatened. Since 2012, U.N. reports have repeatedly warned that Gaza will become unfit for human inhabitancy by the year 2020 should Israel fail to reverse its discriminatory policies and practices there.

41. Israel’s and private companies’ control of the renewable energies sector not only dispossesses Syrians and Palestinians of their natural resources, but also threatens cultural survival, as illustrated in the Golan. Article 15 of the Covenant protects cultural diversity, which, as acknowledged by the Committee, includes a group’s or individual’s traditions and practices tied to their natural environment and resources. For Golani Syrians, land is precious. Israel’s occupation and subsequent restrictions on the Syrian community’s land use has only heightened Syrians’ cultural attachment to their ancestral lands. The time Golani Syrians spend on their lands is how they “express their humanity and give meaning to their existence.” Energix’s project threatens to alter this land permanently and further limit Syrians’ access to it. This will rupture the community’s way of life and destroy important cultural activities tied to the land.

IV. Conclusion and Recommendations

42. Israel’s violations of the Covenant through its exploitation and prevented development of the Golan’s and OPT’s oil, gas, and renewable energies industries are part of Israel’s concerted, calculated efforts to profit off the lands it occupies to the detriment of those under occupation. Such actions are unambiguously contrary to international human rights and humanitarian law. Multinational and Israeli companies play a vital role in these international law abuses. Israel actively encourages and subsidizes these private actors, facilitating their access to the occupied territories. The international law violations discussed here are not unique to a given region or peoples, Syrian or Palestinian; rather, Israel’s systematic discrimination, oppression, and exploitation of the local populations of the territories it occupies knows no bounds.

43. In light of the above, in its review of Israel, the Committee should:

- Call on Israel to fulfil its obligations under international human rights law, notably its obligations under the Covenant, and international humanitarian law in the Golan and OPT;

- Condemn Israel’s failure to safeguard Palestinians’ and Syrians’ rights as guaranteed by the Covenant, notably the right to self-determination and permanent sovereignty over natural resources, among others;

- Condemn Israel’s discriminatory application of laws and regulations in the areas under its control, especially laws and regulations that prohibit Syrians and Palestinians from controlling, accessing, and developing their natural resources;
● Call on Israel to ensure the genuine consent of the occupied populations in the OPT and Golan before engaging in any projects that extract their resources, including by holding extensive and effective consultations;

● Call on Israel to establish policies, while it insists on maintaining its unlawful occupations, that allow Syrians and Palestinians within their respective homelands, in line with international law, to freely develop their natural resources and foster natural resource-based industries;

● Call on Israel to stop providing incentives that allow for and facilitate the expansion of illegal settlements in occupied territories;

● Call on Israel to cease its encouragement and authorization of Israeli and multinational commercial enterprises’ activities in occupied territories under circumstances that violate Israel’s obligations under international humanitarian and human rights law. Further, Israel must regulate, in line with international law, the operations and activities of Israeli and multinational corporations in the occupied territories;

● Call on Israel, as a U.N. Member State, to respect the work, independence, and impartiality of the U.N. High Commissioner for Human Rights, including U.N. Human Rights Council resolution 31/36 (2016);

● Call on Israel, as an Occupying Power, to comply with the U.N. Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights in relation to business activities in the OPT and Golan;

● Condemn Israel’s attempts to silence opposition to its policies and practices that violate rights under the Covenant through such mechanisms as “anti-BDS” laws;

● Call on Israel to guarantee the right of human rights defenders in occupied territories to exercise free speech, including their right to criticize the policies and practices of the Israeli government and other private entities that violate the rights enshrined in the Covenant.
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70 International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights, art. 11, 993 UNTS 3 (16 December 1966) (enshrines the “right of everyone to an adequate standard of living for himself and his family, including adequate food, clothing and housing, and to the continuous improvement of living conditions.”). The Committee has addressed the deprivation of these declared rights for local communities - specifically the rights to food, water, and housing - due to natural resource exploitation by governments and other private entities on several occasions. See U.N. Committee on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights, *Concluding Observations: Colombia*, ¶22, E/C.12/Col/CO/5 (7 June 2010) (recommending that Columbia “implement programmes that … ensure the restitution of lands taken from indigenous and Afro-Colombian peoples, as well as peasant communities” because the impact of the government’s land and agriculture policies negatively impact the specified populations’ right to food); U.N. Committee on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights, *General Comment No. 15: The Right to Water (Articles 11 and 12)*, ¶3, 21, U.N. Doc. E/C.12/2002/11 (20 January 2003) (determining that the legal obligation to “respect” the right to water requires States to refrain from interfering “directly or indirectly with the enjoyment of the right to water” including by denying or limiting “equal access to adequate water; arbitrarily interfering with customary and traditional arrangements for water allocation; … [and] destroying[] water services and infrastructure.”); U.N. Committee on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights, *Concluding Observations: Bolivia*, ¶21, U.N. Doc. E/C/.12/1/Add.60 (21 May 2001) (stating that the Committee is “concerned about the large housing shortage [and] the incidence of forced evictions with respect to peasants and indigenous populations in favour of mining and lumber concessions.”).


72 Hague Convention on the Laws and Customs of War on Land and its Annex: Regulations Concerning the Laws and Customs of War on Land, art. 43, 187 CTS 227 (18 October 1907) (stating that an Occupying Power should respect the laws in force in an occupied territory).


74 International Labour Organization, *The Situation of Workers of the Occupied Arab Territories*, ¶146, ILC.108/DG/APP (June 2019).


The U.N. Committee on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights (CESCR) has condemned States’ failure to provide sufficient health care to vulnerable communities and has stressed that States must ensure “the right of access to health facilities, goods and services on a non-discriminatory basis, especially for vulnerable or marginalized groups.”

---


102 In 2016, the Israeli Government demolished and confiscated more Palestinian-owned structures in the West Bank, including East Jerusalem, than in any other year since the U.N. began to systemically document the issue in 2009. See Record Number of Demolitions and Displacements in the West Bank During 2016, United Nations Office for the Coordination of Humanitarian Affairs (September 2017), available at: https://www.ochaopt.org/content/record-number-demolitions-and-displacements-west-bank-during-2016.


112 International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights, art. 12(1), 993 UNTS 3 (16 December 1966) (recognizing “the right of everyone to the enjoyment of the highest attainable standard of physical and mental health.”). Article 12 specifically draws attention to the need for States to “improve all aspects of environmental and industrial hygiene” and create conditions that “would assure to all medical services and medical attention in the event of sickness.” International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights, art. 12(2)(b), 12(2)(d), 993 UNTS 3 (16 December 1966). The Committee has condemned States’ failure to provide sufficient health care to vulnerable communities and has stressed that States must insure, “the right of access to health facilities, goods and services on a non-discriminatory basis, especially for vulnerable or marginalized groups.” BEN SAUL, DAVID


